

## EFA 2016 AGM Transcript – 27<sup>th</sup> November 2016

15:05 LeslieHughes I am.  
15:05 LiamPomfret I am.  
15:05 Richard I am  
15:05 daemonsinger yes  
15:05 DavidLimbrick Yes  
15:05 JonLawrence Everyone that I can see is.  
15:05 Angus\_M Yes  
15:06 davecake If your nick is not obviously connected to your real name, please identify yourself to the secretary.  
15:06 \*\*\* davidcake quit (Quit: <http://www.mibbit.com> ajax IRC Client)  
15:06 Nkav Hi Dave. It's me, Nick Kavadias  
15:07 Nkav Just to identify myself  
15:08 davecake First item on the agenda, confirmation of the minutes of the previous AGM.  
15:09 davecake The link to the minutes should have been sent to everyone with the notice of the meeting  
15:09 JonLawrence [EFA-2015-AGM-minutes-DRAFT.pdfView](#) next to chat  
15:09 daemonsinger move to accept  
15:09 davecake but for convenience, [EFA-2015-AGM-minutes-DRAFT.pdfView](#) next to chat  
15:10 davecake do we have a seconder to the motion to accept the minutes as a true and accurate record of the 2015 AGM?  
15:11 davecake Could someone second the motion please?  
15:11 Nkav Seconded  
15:12 davecake Thank you Nick.  
15:12 davecake Does anyone wish to speak to the motion or correct anything in the minutes?  
15:12 NickBannon (I am an EFA member)  
15:13 davecake if not, we can move directly to the vote.  
15:13 davecake Does anyone wish to abstain from the motion?  
15:13 LeslieHughes abstain here.  
15:13 DavidLimbrick Abstain  
15:13 Richard Abstain  
15:13 daemonsinger aye  
15:13 Angus\_M aye  
15:13 LiamPomfret Abstain  
15:14 davecake All in favour say aye or yes, all against say no, or say abstain if you wish to abstain  
15:14 Nkav Yes  
15:14 davecake aye  
15:14 NickBannon Yes  
15:14 Angus\_M Yes  
15:15 davecake I declare the motion carried  
15:16 davecake Next, the annual accounts.  
15:17 davecake Greg, would you like to say anything about the accounts?  
15:17 daemonsinger I don't have access on this device but Jon should have them circulated  
15:17 JonLawrence [Profit-and-Loss-statement-FY1516.pdfView](#) next to chat  
15:17 JonLawrence [Balance-Sheet-end-FY1516.pdfView](#) next to chat  
15:17 JonLawrence [Cash-Summary-end-FY1516.pdfView](#) next to chat  
15:18 \*\*\* MikeKent joined #EFAAGM

15:18 Angus\_M The documents are all here as well:  
<https://www.efa.org.au/about/2016agm/>

15:18 daemonsinger Hey Mike

15:18 MikeKent Hi All, sorry I am late

15:19 davecake Hi Mike

15:19 davecake Does anyone have any questions about the accounts?

15:19 daemonsinger I need to make a few points

15:20 davecake Daemon, you have the floor

15:20 daemonsinger thanks

15:21 daemonsinger we are running on empty as a result of the life member program

15:21 daemonsinger this has been an ongoing issue and will continue to be so

15:22 daemonsinger we need to have a WEEKLY income of around\$900

15:22 daemonsinger occasionally we actually achieve that but it is quite rare

15:23 daemonsinger we need a bunch of concerned members to assist in fund raising. not just the board

15:23 \*\*\* warkolm is now known as markwalkom

15:24 daemonsinger there have been a few things happen which appeared to be on the right track but we need a bunch of people to think in terms of raising money

15:24 daemonsinger in an ongoing way

15:24 DavidLimbrick I have two questions when ready.

15:24 daemonsinger The members of the board who are constantly involved need to be supported as does Jon

15:25 daemonsinger The discussion with the ATO is ongoing and I expect to have a payment plan in place by Christians

15:26 daemonsinger end of discussion

15:26 \*\*\* Nkav is now known as NickKavadias

15:26 davecake David L. please asks your questions

15:27 DavidLimbrick Firstly - what is the accounts receivable line on the balance sheet comprised of? Secondly, who was the accountant/auditor who signed off the accounts? It's not clear from the version I can see.

15:28 daemonsinger we don't have an auditor though I would like to get that sorted this year.

15:28 markwalkom where's the \$900 a week come from?

15:28 daemonsinger we use an accountant named ben Walton

15:29 daemonsinger David still has the floor

15:29 markwalkom ie what makes up that 900

15:29 daemonsinger that is Jon's wages plus enough to work through the ATO outstanding

15:30 daemonsinger David do you have anything else

15:30 DavidLimbrick No further questions, although I'm not sure I can see an answer to the question regarding accounts receivable.

15:31 daemonsinger Can I have 5 minutes to come in on another device

15:32 daemonsinger I'll take that aa

15:32 daemonsinger s a yes

15:34 markwalkom it's not clear what the process is here, do we need to state we have questions and then ask at an allocated point

15:34 \*\*\* davecake quit (Ping timeout: 180 seconds)

15:34 \*\*\* davidcake joined #EFAAGM

15:34 \*\*\* daemon\_singer joined #EFAAGM

15:35 davidcake I'm having client issues, switching to this nick for the mo ent

15:36 daemon\_singer back. Just raising the docs David was asking about

15:36 \*\*\* AlexS joined #EFAAGM

15:36 \*\*\* davecake joined #EFAAGM

15:37 davecake While Daemon is looking those up, do we have any further points of discussion regarding the accounts?

15:38 markwalkom how did the ato debt come about

15:38 daemon\_singer change from contractor to employee under the employee rule in 2013

15:39 daemon\_singer the accounts receivable line is caused by a website issue which had us fielding thousands of fake credit card transactions at 40c per transaction.

15:40 JonLawrence i don't think that's correct daemon as that happened this FY.

15:40 daemon\_singer Our funding gateway, eway, are refunding that and Jon and I are working on getting the human captcha sorted so the credit card fielder is stopped permanently

15:41 davecake Yes its debt from PAYG tax that should have been paid on staff payments. The issue is settled, but the debt has been an ongoing issue for a while, gradually being paid down.

15:42 DavidLimbrick OK. So the AR item is mostly a refund then? Is that receivable doubtful?

15:43 JonLawrence Sorry - ignore my previous point.

15:44 daemon\_singer thanks guys for that. My apologies, there is also an outstanding invoice for Twitter we are waiting on

15:44 markwalkom forgive my ignorance, but shouldn't the accountant have flagged this change to us?

15:45 LiamPomfret ....invoice for Twitter? Sorry, but could you clarify that a bit more please?

15:45 davecake Its from 2013, essentially. EFA did not have a regular accountant at that point, and has made a lot of effort to improve financial management since then.

15:45 daemon\_singer Twitter offered to fund an event some month ago and didn't come through.

15:45 daemon\_singer We raise an invoice for major donors

15:46 daemon\_singer in 2013 we had a great big spreadsheet and a lot of hope

15:46 markwalkom thanks

15:46 JonLawrence Specifically, the event in association with the Linux Conference in Geelong in January. The delay in payment is largely due to a change of personnel at their end but is now in progress.

15:46 DavidLimbrick OK. thanks for your responses. No further questions from me.

15:46 daemon\_singer the actual outstanding is going to be over 7000 from eway

15:46 \*\*\* daemonsinger quit (Quit: <http://www.mibbit.com> ajax IRC Client)

15:47 JonLawrence The Twitter invoice is worth \$1500

15:47 daemon\_singer yes

15:47 davecake A few points I think are worth making:

15:48 Angus\_M I echo Daemon's earlier comments and that fundraising should be a major focus for 2017.

15:48 davecake EFA is not raising enough from membership income, but we work on it a lot. We are trying to improve the systems we have for attracting and retaining members, but its a slog.

15:48 daemon\_singer the other thing is that the way the accounts are laid out is problematic. We didn't have profit, we have retained earnings and a few other column differences but I have given up asking for Xero to make appropriate changes

15:48 davecake Membership income is best income for independence.

15:49 LiamPomfret I see, thanks for the clarification.

15:49 daemon\_singer re Angus1 comment, fundraising is not the actual problem. The problem is getting more than 3 people to stick their hands up top not just sit on committees but actually get in and plan/execute stuff

15:50 daemon\_singer @davecake- true but the ongoing life membership issue is problematic

15:50 davecake But we have had to rely on corporate donors. Google has been a major donor. We are very aware of the possibilities for conflict of interest (both board and Google) and careful to avoid it. We would like to diversify so we are not reliant on any large donor.

15:51 davecake I personally do not believe that thinking of the life member program as the problem is a helpful way of framing the problem. While it is true that someone who joined as a life

member in the 1990s is not contributing to the organisation, it is unreasonable to expect that we would usually get a long term commitment of that level. We need to grow member contributions and fundraising in general - the many life members are mostly a

15:51 davecake distraction, many do not contribute but nor do they cost us.

15:52 \*\*\* davidcake quit (Quit: <http://www.mibbit.com> ajax IRC Client)

15:52 daemon\_singer true enough

15:53 davecake But we are hopefully moving the way we engage with membership, potential members, past members, allies, donors etc in a more unified way.

15:54 davecake We have been discussing this as expanding the way we use CRM etc to make an ongoing potential donor list etc, similar to the way many large professional non-profits do, tapping into the skills of some experienced fundraisers. But its ongoing work.

15:55 davecake Do we have any further discussions on the financials?

15:55 daemon\_singer move to accept

15:55 Richard Aye

15:55 daemon\_singer seconder?

15:56 davecake Do we have a seconder for a motion to accept the financial report for the 2015-2016 financial year?

15:56 MikeKent sure

15:56 NickKavadias Seconded

15:56 daemon\_singer aye

15:56 davecake Mike, were you offering to second? I think you just jumped in ahead of Nick.

15:56 MikeKent I was

15:57 davecake OK, move to the vote. In favour say yes or aye, against say no or against, If you want to abstain say abstain

15:57 Richard Aye

15:57 Angus\_M Yes

15:57 daemon\_singer aye

15:57 davecake aye

15:57 MikeKent Aye

15:57 NickKavadias Yes

15:57 DavidLimbrick abstain

15:58 LeslieHughes abstain

15:58 markwalkom abstain

15:58 LiamPomfret Abstain

15:59 daemon\_singer I think the ayes have it

15:59 NickBannon Yes.

15:59 daemon\_singer will be right back (nature)

16:00 davecake I declare the motion carried.

16:00 davecake Next item on the agenda, the annual report.

16:00 davecake I would like to apologise for the lateness of the report, which was only made available to members shortly before the meeting. The fault is mine.

16:01 MikeKent Thanks for all your work Daemon. I know it is not a fun job...

16:01 davecake I especially apologise as the annual report is quite long (11 pages)

16:02 davecake EFA-2016-Annual-Report.pdfView next to chat for those who have looked at it yet.

16:02 davecake I now ask for any questions concerning the annual report

16:02 davecake Most of the report summarises the many activities and policy issues we dealt with during the year. There were quite a few.

16:03 davecake Particular items to note:

16:03 daemon\_singer RTK

16:04 davecake establishment of local chapters - currently some going quite well, some off to a weak start. But I think a potentially major positive change.

16:04 davecake Census was a really big issue for us. Generated a lot of media, a lot of social media discussion, etc.

16:05 davecake Election year, we did a lot of election work

16:07 davecake Conference in April next year - we hope to be both a useful event for generating interest and enthusiasm, and a major fundraiser. A lot of work needed. Help appreciated, especially from those with event organising experience, or who live in Perth.

16:08 davecake A lot of board discussion around fundraising etc this year, difficult discussions but we think we have a solid plan currently.

16:08 davecake Any questions?

16:08 NickBannon I should be able to lend a hand to the Bytes and Rights Conference

16:08 davecake That would be great Nick, I'll be in touch.

16:09 daemon\_singer do we have a plan of action to go with the plan, Dave'

16:10 davecake We have some action. I plan that one of the first items for the new board will be a review and some strategic planning on fundraising.

16:11 daemon\_singer excellent

16:13 davecake any more questions arising from the annual report? Or any other question about EFA operational issues, policy work, etc?

16:13 MikeKent Thanks to you as well David - and the rest of the board. It seems you have all had a busy year

16:13 daemon\_singer many thanks Mike

16:14 davecake Thanks Mike.

16:14 davecake Mike left the board for work related reasons this year, but I should note he put in a lot of work on the local chapter issue in particular, so we should thank him for that

16:15 davecake And yes, it was a busy year. I wonder if EFA will ever have a non-busy year though! Digital rights issues crop up in more and more policy areas now.

16:16 davecake Though a more competent government, especially a more competent Attorney-General, would certainly make for more productive effort.

16:17 davecake If we have no further questions, perhaps we should move to accept the report?

16:17 daemon\_singer attorneys general in respect of the state of queensland, are universally useless. Either librarians or conveyancers.

16:18 daemon\_singer move to accept

16:18 Angus\_M second

16:18 daemon\_singer aye

16:18 davecake All those in favour, against, abstentions etc

16:18 davecake aye

16:18 Angus\_M Yes

16:19 MikeKent Aye

16:19 LeslieHughes abstain

16:19 DavidLimbrick abstain

16:19 LiamPomfret Abstain

16:20 markwalkom abstain

16:20 NickBannon Yes.

16:20 NickKavadias Accept the report? Abstain haven't had enough time read it

16:21 Richard Aye

16:21 daemon\_singer we have some faith Nick

16:21 \*\*\* markwalkom quit (Quit: <http://www.mibbit.com> ajax IRC Client)

16:22 NickBannon ("An open letter on encryption and privacy" link is broken; recommend republishing with the open letters included as appendices)

16:22 JonLawrence Thanks Nick. Will fix that.

16:22 daemon\_singer spkng of such things, do we have any code wizards able and with time to give Jon a hand on the website/systems?

16:23 NickBannon I can't guarantee a lot of time, but possibly

16:23 daemon\_singer thanks can you discuss with Jon afterwards please

16:24 davecake Yes, this is a motion in favour of accepting the report. I do not feel that in this case reading the entire report is necessary to vote in favour - acceptance indicates that you believe it is a reasonable annual report, not that you have verified it.

16:25 daemon\_singer the ayes have it

16:25 daemon\_singer 6/4

16:25 davecake I declare the motion carried. 6-0 Daemon, an abstention is not a vote against.

16:26 daemon\_singer aware of that

16:26 JonLawrence don't forget the proxies

16:26 daemon\_singer good point

16:26 JonLawrence which makes it 10 for, 0 against, 5 abstentions, on my count

16:26 davecake Yes, the proxies. Both mine vote for.

16:27 daemon\_singer ppl don't trust me with their proxies

16:27 davecake Assuming the Secretaries proxies both both for (one had directed votes - but they were for all motions so far).

16:27 davecake OK, next item on the agenda is appointment of an auditor

16:27 davecake Motion to be moved: That the meeting authorise the board to appoint an auditor for the EFA accounts for the 2016-2017 financial year if the board considers it appropriate.

16:28 daemon\_singer second

16:28 NickKavadias Yes

16:28 DavidLimbrick aye

16:28 MikeKent Yes

16:28 Angus\_M Yes

16:28 davecake This is the same form of motion we moved last year. It is essentially that the board can appoint an auditor if they wish, but does not force them.

16:28 Richard Aye

16:28 LiamPomfret Aye

16:29 davecake Before everyone votes, do we have any discussion on this item?

16:29 daemon\_singer aye

16:29 davecake I have not called for a vote

16:29 daemon\_singer I'd like to say it's a good idea

16:29 MikeKent Sorry!

16:29 MikeKent can we afford an auditor?

16:29 LeslieHughes I have a quick point when ready.

16:29 daemon\_singer so I will... it's a good idea

16:29 davecake I do too, but the requires the board to put the effort in to find an auditor who will do it at a reasonable price.

16:29 Angus\_M Apologies Dave, I agree that this is a good idea

16:30 davecake Leslie, please, the floor is yours

16:30 daemon\_singer I can find a pro bono or low rate auditor who does this for other organisations

16:30 daemon\_singer was suggested at QCCL the other day as well

16:31 LeslieHughes The audit does cost money, which is true. Given that, there would be someone sympathetic who might charge the organisation less. The positive point is that when people give money, it signals to them that it's spent responsibly, and it's accounted for to some

extent. The cost of an auditor could be \$1k (or whatever), but a few donations in confidence could make up for it.

16:31 daemon\_singer yes

16:31 LeslieHughes Additionally, it's the mark of an operation acting transparently, which is very important for the EFA>

16:32 LeslieHughes (point made)

16:32 Angus\_M I agree wholeheartedly Leslie

16:32 daemon\_singer do you want to change the motion Leslie?

16:32 NickBannon Authorising the board is always reasonable, but actually engaging in an audit is only occasionally necessary or good value for money&time.

16:32 daemon\_singer I would support it if you did

16:32 LeslieHughes I think it should still give the board the responsibility, but it could be worded in a way which strongly encourages it...?

16:33 LeslieHughes There might be another motion to force the hand, which others might want.

16:33 daemon\_singer Our books are good but with several high-mark support pathways, an audit does make sense IMHO

16:33 Angus\_M It could be an element of the fundraising focus as raised earlier

16:33 davecake Yes. I personally do not believe that an audit is warranted every year, but it would be appropriate to do so this year.

16:33 \*\*\* markwalkom joined #EFAAGM

16:33 daemon\_singer I would like to do one this year

16:34 davecake Leslie we are not able to add new motions to the agenda of the AGM, but we are able to amend existing ones.

16:34 davecake So if you would like to more strongly encourage the board to perform an audit in a motion, the best way would be to amend the existing audit motion.

16:35 LeslieHughes My suggestion would be to keep it similar, but to amend it so it is strongly encouraging, but not mandatory.

16:35 daemon\_singer I would encourage it Leslie

16:35 davecake For example, we could change "That the meeting authorise the board to appoint an auditor for the EFA accounts for the 2016-2017 financial year if the board considers it appropriate."

16:36 NickBannon I think the motion is fine as it stands, I trust the board to make an appropriate decision.

16:36 davecake to "That the meeting authorise the board to appoint an auditor for the EFA accounts for the 2016-2017 financial year and encourages the board to do so." or similar.

16:36 daemon\_singer away from keyboard 5 minutes

16:37 LeslieHughes Perhaps a vote on the wording? (but please /msg me to shut up if I am doing this wrong, or am speaking out of turn)

16:37 davecake No, Leslie, its fine. Do you have proposed wording you would prefer?

16:38 davecake Or anyone else can suggest changed wording.

16:38 LeslieHughes the second. It makes the general push to be articulated (if indeed it is the general push), but still gives an option in the cases of time and or costs being too large.

16:39 LeslieHughes \*articulates the general push (to get it done)

16:39 Angus\_M Happy to have the motion remain as it was with the minutes to clearly record the membership's encouragement for the Board to appoint an auditor?

16:40 davecake So you want to amend the motion to read "That the meeting authorise the board to appoint an auditor for the EFA accounts for the 2016-2017 financial year and encourages the board to do so."??

16:40 LeslieHughes I'd prefer that, but I would still vote for the original.

16:41 MikeKent I like the amended motion

16:41 davecake OK, Leslie has suggested an amendment. I (as mover) would prefer to put that amendment to the vote, as there seems to be some disagreement. Do we have a seconder for the amendment?

16:41 MikeKent I will second

16:42 davecake Thanks Mike.

16:42 LeslieHughes The wording is a bit clumsy still, but the idea is that it's not mandatory, but it's encouraged.

16:42 \*\*\* warkolm joined #EFAAGM

16:42 \*\*\* markwalkom quit (Quit: <http://www.mibbit.com> ajax IRC Client)

16:42 LeslieHughes The wording is a bit clumsy still, but the idea is that it's not mandatory, but it's encouraged.

16:42 davecake We can still change the wording if you wish Leslie

16:44 davecake But in the absence of new wording, for the moment I would like to ask if anyone would like to speak for or against the amendment?

16:44 LeslieHughes "That the meeting encourages the board to appoint an auditor for the EFA accounts for the 2016-2017 financial year, and the board is authorised to do so if the board considers it appropriate."

16:44 daemon\_singer RTK - feeding with urgency - apologies

16:46 davecake OK, Mike you still happy to second that version of the motion? Personally I like it a little less (because the authorisation is more important than the encouragement), but either way seems valid.

16:46 MikeKent yes happy to do so

16:48 davecake Anyone want to speak in favour or against the motion?

16:48 davecake sorry, in favour or against the AMENDMENT

16:49 daemon\_singer All good here

16:49 Angus\_M I support the amended motion and suggest that we also record the intention of the amendment clearly in the minutes.

16:49 daemon\_singer I think the discussion will show the intent clearly

16:50 davecake I think the amendment should clearly document itself. if it does not, we should change the wording.

16:50 Angus\_M Agreed - I think the amendment records the intention clearly in any event

16:53 davecake OK, lets put the amendment to the vote All those in favour of amending the motion "That the meeting authorise the board to appoint an auditor for the EFA accounts for the 2016-2017 financial year if the board considers it appropriate." to read "That the meeting encourages the board to appoint an auditor for the EFA accounts for the 2016-2017 financial year, and the board is authorised to do so if the board considers it appropriat

16:53 davecake e." - say in favour, against, or abstain. NOTE that this is just the wording, not for or against the motion itself.

16:53 NickBannon No.

16:53 Richard Abstain

16:53 LeslieHughes yes

16:54 warkolm yes

16:54 DavidLimbrick aye

16:54 Angus\_M YEs

16:54 MikeKent yes

16:54 \*\*\* warkolm is now known as markwalkom

16:54 LiamPomfret yes

16:54 daemon\_singer Yes

16:54 NickKavadias Yes

16:55 davecake OK, that amendment passes.



16:56 davecake Do we have anyone who wishes to speak for or against the new motion "That the meeting encourages the board to appoint an auditor for the EFA accounts for the 2016-2017 financial year, and the board is authorised to do so if the board considers it appropriate."

16:56 davecake Personally I am strongly in favour.

16:56 \*\*\* AlexS quit (Quit: <http://www.mibbit.com> ajax IRC Client)

16:57 daemon\_singer Is there a reason we can't just put the motion and vote on it?

16:57 davecake Nope, just following procedure.

16:58 NickKavadias I agree. We should allow members to vote on if we should appoint an auditor

16:58 davecake Moved: That the meeting encourages the board to appoint an auditor for the EFA accounts for the 2016-2017 financial year, and the board is authorised to do so if the board considers it appropriate. Moved me (I think), seconded Daemon, all in favour say aye, against no, or abstain.

16:58 NickBannon Yes.

16:58 LeslieHughes yes

16:59 davecake yes

16:59 DavidLimbrick aye

16:59 NickKavadias Yes

16:59 Richard Aye

16:59 markwalkom y

16:59 Angus\_M Yes

16:59 LiamPomfret Yes

17:00 daemon\_singer Yes

17:00 davecake I declare the motion carried.

17:00 davecake Thank you everyone for managing to deal with the amendment with minimal confusion.

17:01 davecake That brings us to the last item of formal business, the election of board members.

17:01 davecake We received only three nominations for five positions.

17:02 davecake Those nominations were from myself (David Cake), Katharine Phelps, and Michael Burleigh.

17:02 davecake Those three are automatically elected.

17:02 davecake We have two remaining positions on the board. It is possible to nominate from the floor of AGM right now.

17:03 davecake Or the board can vote to fill those vacancies later.

17:03 daemon\_singer are these ordinary members?

17:03 JonLawrence Richard Burleigh, not Michale

17:03 JonLawrence \*Michael

17:03 JonLawrence Or, even more correctly, Richard Burleigh

17:04 davecake errr yes, Richard Burleigh

17:04 davecake My mistake.

17:04 MikeKent Sorry, I am still not able to take up a position on the board at this time

17:05 davecake The relevant rule from our constitution "In the event that there remain positions for election for which there is no nomination at the time of closing of nominations, the chair of the meeting can call for nominations for those positions from the floor at the AGM."

17:06 davecake But also "The Board may appoint a natural person to fill a casual vacancy and such a person shall hold office until the next Annual General Meeting, where the position shall be filled in accordance with rule 10(9). Such a Board Member shall take office immediately upon appointment."

17:06 davecake So if no one wishes to nominate at this point, it becomes a matter for the new board to fill, so please do not feel pressured.

17:06 davecake Do we have any nominations from the floor?

17:07 davecake I take it we do not.

17:07 davecake Going going...

17:07 daemon\_singer I reckon we should ask Leslie to nominate. He sees the small things

17:08 daemon\_singer and that is important on this board

17:08 davecake Leslie do you have a response?

17:09 Angus\_M I would support a nomination from Leslie, however; as Dave noted, please don't be pressured - this can occur at a later point as well

17:09 daemon\_singer agree with angus

17:09 LeslieHughes I hope that's a comment with regard to detail, and not my personal tools. I'm a big fan of what the organisation does, but at this stage time is a major issue for me. When I do get more free time, I'll be keen to assist more in whatever ways I can.

17:10 daemon\_singer

17:10 davecake Leslie politely declines, and I think with that, I will declare those positions vacant for the moment, and a matter for the new board.

17:10 daemon\_singer agreed

17:10 davecake Which brings us to the end of our formal business.

17:11 daemon\_singer are we all going over to the exchange for a beer?

17:11 davecake Does anyone have any other matters they wish to discuss?

17:11 daemon\_singer I'd like to re-iterate my request for a codie to help Jon with a couple of issues on the website

17:12 Richard I can help with the website

17:12 davecake I would like to thank outgoing board members for their service.

17:12 davecake I would like to thank everyone here for their attendance.

17:12 daemon\_singer thanks Richard, can you contact later please Jon

17:13 davecake I would like to ask the meeting if the time has finally come to move away from IRC as a meeting format yet?